

EXHIBIT 13

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION**

STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL.;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.;

Defendants,

and

KARLA PEREZ, ET AL.;

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,

Defendants-Intervenors.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00068

**PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO
DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS' FOURTH SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS**

TO: Defendant-Intervenors, by and through their attorneys of record, Nina Perales, Celina Moreno, Jack Salmon, Ernest I. Herrera, Alejandra Avila, Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, 110 Broadway, Suite 300, San Antonio, Texas 78205; Carlos Moctezuma García, García & García, Attorneys at Law P.L.L.C., P.O. Box 4545, McAllen, Texas 78502.

Plaintiff States serve these first amended objections and responses to Defendant-Intervenors' fourth set of interrogatories and requests for production of documents pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

November 12, 2018

Respectfully submitted.

STEVE MARSHALL
Attorney General of Alabama

KEN PAXTON
Attorney General of Texas

LESLIE RUTLEDGE
Attorney General of Arkansas

JEFFREY C. MATEER
First Assistant Attorney General

JEFF LANDRY
Attorney General of Louisiana

BRANTLEY STARR
Deputy First Assistant Attorney General

DOUGLAS J. PETERSON
Attorney General of Nebraska

JAMES E. DAVIS
Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

ALAN WILSON
Attorney General of South Carolina

/s/ Todd Lawrence Disher
TODD LAWRENCE DISHER
Attorney-in-Charge
Special Counsel for Civil Litigation
Tx. State Bar No. 24081854
Southern District of Texas No. 2985472
Tel.: (512) 463-2100; Fax: (512) 936-0545
todd.disher@oag.texas.gov
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711-2548

PATRICK MORRISEY
Attorney General of West Virginia

ADAM ARTHUR BIGGS
Special Counsel for Civil Litigation

ADAM N. BITTER
Assistant Attorney General

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF STATES

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 12, 2018, I served a copy of this document by electronic mail to all counsel listed below:

Nina Perales
Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund
110 Broadway
Suite 300
San Antonio, Texas 78205
nperales@maldef.org

Jeffrey S. Robins
U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
Office of Immigration Litigation
District Court Section
P.O. Box 868
Washington, D.C. 20044
Jeffrey.Robins@usdoj.gov

Glenn Moramarco
Office of the Attorney General of New Jersey
25 Market Street, 8th Floor
Trenton, New Jersey 08625
Glenn.Moramarco@law.njoag.gov

/s/ Todd Lawrence Disher
TODD LAWRENCE DISHER
Trial Counsel for Civil Litigation
Office of the Attorney General of Texas

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF STATES

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Plaintiffs object to the extent that any of Defendant-Intervenors' requests are outside the scope of discovery, unduly burdensome, overly broad, or seek information that is not relevant to this phase of this case or equally accessible to Defendant-Intervenors through third-party discovery requests or other sources.

Additionally, Plaintiffs object to each discovery request to the extent that: (1) it seeks information that was prepared for or in anticipation of litigation, constitutes attorney work product, contains attorney-client communications, or is otherwise protected by legislative privilege, deliberative process privilege, or any other applicable privilege, protection, doctrine, or immunity; (2) it seeks information that is publicly available or otherwise equally available or uniquely or equally available from third parties; (3) it seeks information that does not specifically refer to the events which are the subject matter of this litigation; and (4) it seeks information not relevant to the subject matter of this litigation.

Plaintiffs object to each discovery request to the extent that it seeks information not in Plaintiffs' possession, custody, or control. Many of the requests seek information from individuals or entities who are not parties to this lawsuit and are not under the direction and control of the parties. Those requests are subject to the rules governing third-party discovery.

These responses and objections are made without waiving any further objections to, or admitting the relevancy or materiality of, any of the information requested. All answers are given without prejudice to Plaintiffs' right to introduce or

object to the discovery of any documents, facts, or information discovered after the date hereof. Plaintiffs likewise do not waive the right to object, on any and all grounds, to (1) the evidentiary use of the information contained in these responses and objections; and (2) discovery requests relating to these objections and responses.

Plaintiffs will provide their responses based on terms as they are commonly understood and consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs object to and will refrain from extending or modifying any words employed in the requests to comport with expanded definitions or instructions. Plaintiffs will answer the requests to the extent required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Southern District of Texas.

**SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT-
INTERVENORS' INTERROGATORIES**

INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Identify each document, study or report that you or any of your expert witnesses prepared, obtained, requested, or relied on relating to the projection of student growth in Texas public schools for the upcoming school year. For any such study or report, please identify:

- a. the date you requested it;
- b. the date you obtained it;
- c. the author(s);
- d. the person or entity who commissioned it; and
- e. the person or entity that paid for or is responsible for paying for it.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiffs object to this request. It is overly broad and unduly burdensome.

Subject to and without waiving their objections, Plaintiffs refer Defendant-Intervenors to Exhibit 9 to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction, other applicable exhibits attached to and cited in the complaint and motion for preliminary injunction, and documents produced by the Plaintiffs in this litigation (including Bates-numbered documents STATES000250–STATES000254, produced on June 29, 2018).

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Identify the number of Texas public school students, elementary through high school, by grade or program, who are currently enrolled in bilingual education or english as a second language (ESL) programs and identify:

- a. the manner in which you determined the number of bilingual education or ESL students; and
- b. all information, documents or other evidentiary material upon which you rely to support your conclusion that each such individual is a receiving bilingual education or ESL programming.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiffs object to this request. It is overly broad and unduly burdensome.

Subject to and without waiving their objections, Plaintiffs refer Defendant-Intervenors to publicly available English Language Learner student reports provided by the Texas Education Agency (“TEA”), including reports available at <https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/adhocrpt/adlepcg.html>. These reports reflect the following student counts as of October 27, 2017, by grade and program, for the 2017–2018 school year:

<u>Grade</u>	<u>ESL Students</u>	<u>Bilingual Education Students</u>
1	29,285	79,777
2	28,378	78,303
3	29,025	76,997
4	26,723	69,489
5	26,770	60,872
6	58,821	9,660
7	58,531	2,292
8	49,985	1,609
9	48,983	720
10	36,667	407
11	28,032	294
12	21,348	227
Early education	29	82
Kindergarten	26,556	75,250
Pre-kindergarten	21,508	69,352

The above-referenced figures are based on data reported to TEA by Texas public school districts through the Public Education Information Management System.

**SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT-
INTERVENORS' REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION**

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Please produce the Texas Education Agency Foundation School Program Monthly Activity/Projection Strategies A.1.1 and A.1.2 chart for March to May 2018. The February 2018 chart was produced by Plaintiffs at bates number STATES000052.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiffs object to this request. It is overly broad and unduly burdensome.

Subject to and without waiving their objections, Plaintiffs refer Defendant-Intervenors to Bates-numbered documents STATES000250–STATES000252, produced on June 29, 2018. Additional non-privileged documents within the scope of Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, if any, will be produced on a rolling basis.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

Please produce each document or other evidentiary material you identified in any of your responses to Defendant-Intervenors' Interrogatories Nos. 16-17.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiffs object to this request. It is overly broad and unduly burdensome.

Subject to and without waiving their objections, Plaintiffs refer Defendant-Intervenors to Bates-numbered documents STATES000253–STATES000254, produced on June 29, 2018. Additional non-privileged documents within the scope of Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, if any, will be produced on a rolling basis.